- 1 Let me break it down for you since you seem to
- 2 have problems with that question.
- MR. PEDIGO: Objection to the sidebars. That's a
- 4 confusing question.
- 5 JUDGE STEINBERG: It's withdrawn.
- 6 MR. PEDIGO: If he signed one, that's one
- 7 question. If it's as part of this is where you made it
- 8 confusing. Just break it down, please.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes. I mean, the question has
- 10 been withdrawn.
- I mean, if you want to direct Mr. Brasher's
- 12 attention to a particular page and he can review a document,
- then I think he could put the question in context.
- 14 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 15 Q Exhibit 19, do you recognize that Exhibit 19 was a
- response to the Commission's inquiry letter dated March 4,
- 17 1999?
- I believe it states that at the top of the letter,
- 19 the first page of the letter, on the first page of the
- 20 exhibit.
- 21 A This page here?
- 22 Q Yes. The first sentence says "As per the
- 23 Commission's request of March 4, 1999 in the above-captioned
- 24 proceeding, we hereby provide DLB's responses to the
- 25 questions posed therein."

- 1 So you understand that Exhibit 19 was prepared in
- 2 response to an inquiry from the Commission?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Okay. When did you sign a management agreement
- 5 with DLB?
- 6 A I thought it was later in '99.
- 7 Q You don't recall?
- A I don't recall the exact date, but I did sign two.
- 9 Q You signed two?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Why did you sign two? One for each station?
- 12 A One for each station.
- 13 Q Okay. And did you sign them at the same time?
- 14 A Yes, ma'am. I did.
- 15 Q Look at page 486 of Exhibit 19.
- MR. PEDIGO: And page 458.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: What page again?
- MS. LANCASTER: 486.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Thank you.
- MS. LANCASTER: And 458, Mr. Pedigo tells me, is
- 21 another one.
- THE WITNESS: What are those numbers again? I'm
- 23 sorry.
- MS. LANCASTER: 486 is the first one.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: 486.

- 1 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 2 Q Have you found 486?
- 3 A Yes, ma'am.
- 4 Q Is that a management agreement, one of the
- 5 management agreements that you signed?
- A I was flipping to page 10. Yes, that is my
- 7 signature. That is my writing throughout pages 1 through
- 8 11.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Now, the pages for our record
- 10 purposes, if you look -- you see the signature page, look at
- 11 the next page, for our purposes, that's Bates stamp 496 and
- 12 that's what Mr. Brasher was referring to.
- 13 That's your signature?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. It is.
- 15 JUDGE STEINBERG: And the handwriting throughout
- 16 the document, which is from page 486 through 496 is yours,
- 17 the handwritten material?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
- 19 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- Q Did your mother sign that on behalf of DLB?
- 21 A I recognize that as her signature. Yes.
- Q Okay. Look at Bates stamp page 458. When you
- 23 find that attachment, go to page 468 of that marketing and
- 24 management agreement.
- 25 A I'm there.

- 1 Q Is that also your signature?
- 2 A Yes, it is.
- 3 Q And do you recall signing that?
- 4 A Yes, I did.
- 5 Q And does that appear to be your mother's signature
- on behalf of DLB on page 468?
- 7 A Yes, ma'am.
- 8 Q Okay. Both of these agreements indicate that they
- 9 were signed on March 25, 1999, don't they?
- 10 If you look at page 458 and you look at page 486,
- 11 they both have the date March 25, 1999.
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Is that correct?
- 14 A Yes, they are.
- 15 Q Were you asked to sign a marketing and management
- 16 agreement so that it could be sent in to the Commission?
- 17 A I would have to assume so, yes.
- 18 Q Okay. Was there any --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, the question is do you
- 20 know?
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 22 Q I was going to ask was there any conversation
- 23 regarding that with anyone?
- A My thought was that this at this time was just
- straightening things out that probably needed to be

- 1 straightened out or corrected and this was one step toward
- 2 that.
- Q Okay. Did you have any conversations with your
- 4 father regarding why all of a sudden you needed to sign a
- 5 marketing and management agreement?
- A As I stated earlier, it's the thing -- I guess
- 7 from the original Net Wave position or petition or whatever
- 8 it is, that we had some things we needed to correct and this
- 9 was one of the issues that I thought that needed to be --
- was presented as needing to be corrected or had to be
- 11 corrected and in my mind we were on our way to doing that.
- 12 Q Okay. That's what your dad told you?
- 13 A No, he did not. It was just a general thought in
- 14 my mind, that, you know, there might have been some issues
- that needed to be straightened out and this might have been
- one that had to be straightened out.
- 17 Q So your dad didn't make any comment to you about
- 18 signing these marketing and management agreements?
- 19 A He handed them to me and said we needed to get
- this on record, I quess, not necessarily on record, but get
- 21 this particular issue resolved.
- 22 Q Okay. There had not been any prior conversations
- about needing to sign any kind of management agreement, were
- 24 there?
- A No, I wholeheartedly trust my father.

- 1 Q Okay. As a matter of fact, you basically had not
- 2 had any discussions, it was just about DLB managing your
- 3 licenses, had you?
- 4 A Well, conversations for years had been that I was
- 5 going to -- this is a long way around to your answer, is
- 6 that I was eventually going to join the organization and
- 7 I looked at it as an opportunity for me to be on the ground
- 8 floor, own a license.
- 9 Q But you assumed from the very beginning when you
- 10 got your licenses that DLB would be handling those licenses,
- 11 didn't you?
- 12 A I have a hard -- yes. I mean, yes. Yes.
- Q When you signed those licenses years before you
- ever joined DLB, you really didn't actively participate in
- 15 managing the licenses, did you?
- 16 MR. PEDIGO: Objection, Your Honor. Years
- before -- the license was issued, I think, in September '96,
- 18 he joined DLB several months later, in April.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: If you want to put some dates in
- 20 there.
- MS. LANCASTER: I'll correct my statement.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- Q When you first signed the licenses, you didn't
- 24 anticipate at that time participating in the management of
- either license itself, did you?

- 1 A I had no concern.
- 2 Q Right.
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q You just signed them because your dad needed the
- 5 spectrum at the Allen site. Isn't that correct?
- A I looked at it also as an asset for my own estate.
- 7 Q Certainly. I understand that you may have looked
- 8 at it that way, but you were asked to sign because they
- 9 needed spectrum at the Allen site. Isn't that correct?
- 10 A Yes. Conversations --
- 11 Q And you wanted to accommodate --
- MR. PEDIGO: Objection, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Let him answer.
- MS. LANCASTER: Sorry.
- MR. PEDIGO: Would you restate the question?
- MS. LANCASTER: I can't.
- 17 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 18 Q Do you remember what you were going to say?
- 19 A Prior to that, we were saying that I saw the value
- 20 toward my own estate, I also saw the value toward DLB
- 21 Enterprises and Metroplex Two Way, looking toward my own
- future of eventually joining it. Yes, I did realize that it
- would be used to grow the business and managed by Metroplex
- 24 Two Way.
- Q Okay. But you -- are you through?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q You basically wanted to accommodate your father's
- 3 request. Isn't that correct?
- 4 A Sure.
- Okay. You have a very good relationship with your
- father, don't you?
- 7 A Most of the time.
- 8 Q You live next door to your father?
- 9 A Yes, I do.
- 10 Q I believe you said that frequently you eat at
- 11 their house or they eat at your house, you socialize in that
- 12 manner with them?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 O Take vacation with them?
- 15 A Mm-hmm.
- 16 JUDGE STEINBERG: That was yes?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm sorry.
- 18 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 19 Q Consider your family to be very close with your
- 20 father and your mother. Is that correct?
- 21 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q And if they asked you to do a favor for them, you
- 23 would do it, wouldn't you?
- 24 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Are there any other documents in Exhibit 19 that

- 1 you specifically recall pulling and saying these need to go
- 2 in there in that response or being asked to pull to include
- 3 in this response?
- 4 A Can I explain my extent of research for Ron or is
- 5 that out of --
- 6 JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, if you say are there any
- 7 documents in Exhibit 19 that you specifically recall and
- 8 then the rest of it --
- 9 MS. LANCASTER: Supplying.
- 10 JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, how can he answer that
- 11 without looking at the documents?
- 12 MS. LANCASTER: And we don't want him to do that,
- 13 do we?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I don't care.
- 15 MS. LANCASTER: It takes too long.
- 16 JUDGE STEINBERG: It's up to you. I mean, we
- 17 can -- if you want, what we can do is we can do the same
- 18 thing we did with Mrs. Brasher in that we can take an
- 19 extended break when it comes time, we can move on to
- 20 something else now and then during the break we can take an
- 21 extended break and have Mr. Brasher look through the
- 22 attachment to Exhibit 19 with an eye towards answering your
- question. Because I just don't think it's fair to ask do
- you remember any specific documents without letting him look
- 25 at -- I mean, there's a massive amount of documents.

- MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, I have no problems
- with doing that. I will be happy to move on.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Sure.
- 4 MS. LANCASTER: On the other hand, I would argue
- 5 that it's unfair to expect the Commission not to ask what
- 6 his participation is in preparing and accumulating the data
- 7 that goes into each of these exhibits.
- 8 JUDGE STEINBERG: You can ask what you want to
- 9 ask. I didn't say there was anything improper about your
- 10 question. I mean, I'm here all day. I don't care if we
- 11 spend it asking questions or if we spend it watching
- 12 witnesses read.
- MS. LANCASTER: We'll move on and I'll ask him at
- 14 a break to read the various documents.
- 15 JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes. And we can take a little
- 16 extended break.
- 17 MS. LANCASTER: I'd like to ask him preliminarily
- if he's seen Exhibit 21 and Exhibit 31 -- well, 30 and 31,
- 19 which are requests for admissions and the responses and if
- 20 he has not seen them, I will ask that he also review those
- 21 documents when we take a break.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, why don't we do one at a
- 23 time?
- MS. LANCASTER: Okay.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: And see where that takes us.

- 1 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 2 Q Exhibit 21, Mr. Brasher.
- 3 (Pause.)
- 4 A I'm familiar with this document.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Mr. Brasher is ready.
- 6 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 7 Q Okay. You're familiar with this document?
- 8 A My portion of it, yes.
- 9 Q Okay. If you would turn to page 18, I believe
- that's the beginning of your portion.
- 11 A I'm there.
- 12 Q Did you see this document prior to it being sent
- in to the FCC?
- 14 A I saw it prior to being sent to Schwaninger &
- 15 Associates.
- 16 Q Okay. The information contained on the document
- 17 that you saw is the same information that's contained on
- 18 this document?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Let's move first to Exhibit 30. Have you found
- 21 it?
- 22 A Yes. I'm sorry.
- 23 Q Have you seen this document before?
- 24 (Pause.)
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q When did you first see it?
- 2 A Were these not the questions to the previous
- 3 exhibit?
- 4 Q Yes, I believe they are. When did you first see
- 5 it?
- A I'm not being smart, but prior to writing the
- 7 responses.
- 8 Q Okay. Look at Exhibit 31.
- 9 A I'm there.
- 10 Q Have you seen that document before?
- 11 A Yes, ma'am.
- 12 Q When did you first see it?
- 13 A I don't remember the exact date, but I do remember
- 14 seeing it, I do remember responding to it.
- 15 Q Okay. Did you go through each question listed on
- 16 31 and specifically indicate what you wanted your response
- 17 to that question to be?
- 18 A Yes, ma'am.
- 19 O Okay. And look at Exhibit 32.
- 20 A The answers.
- 21 Q Are these your responses to the questions that
- 22 were asked in Exhibit 31?
- 23 A Providing there was no correlation error or
- 24 numbering error, they should match.
- Q Okay. I will ask --

- 1 A I have the original document with me, not here,
- 2 but I do have it here in this state. You know, it's --
- 3 short of me reading each one, yes, I'd have to believe that
- 4 this document does match my answers that I gave, in lieu of
- 5 some of the problems that I've seen, I do have a concern.
- 6 Q I will ask that at the break you go through and
- 7 match up and make sure you tell me whether or not the
- 8 answers on Exhibit 32 are the same answers that you
- 9 submitted to the FCC as your answers, that were supposed to
- 10 be submitted to the FCC as your answers. Do you understand
- 11 my question?
- 12 A Mm-hmm.
- 13 Q Or my comment?
- 14 A We're trying to find out if there was an error
- between when it left my hands to your hands.
- 16 Q Correct.
- 17 A Which we hold no one to blame.
- 18 Q And if you have the document with you that you say
- 19 that you wrote your answers on --
- 20 A It's at the hotel. I can go through it.
- 21 Q Okay.
- 22 A I can be 99 percent sure.
- MR. PEDIGO: Your Honor, if there is a specific
- 24 RFA you want to ask about --
- MS. LANCASTER: I want to make sure his answers

- that are on this exhibit are the answers that he said were
- 2 supposed to be his answers before I start questioning him
- 3 about the exhibit.
- 4 THE WITNESS: I'm not being difficult, it's
- 5 just --
- 6 JUDGE STEINBERG: No, just answer. You don't have
- 7 to make any comments.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes, Your Honor.
- 9 JUDGE STEINBERG: You're doing fine.
- 10 (Pause.)
- MS. LANCASTER: Well, I'll tell you what.
- 12 I won't -- in the interests of time, I will go ahead and ask
- 13 a couple of questions.
- 14 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 15 Q Question 2 on Exhibit 31 states "From June 1996
- through June 1999, respondent was the vice president and
- director of DLB Enterprises, Inc." And you denied that in
- 18 your response in number 32.
- 19 Why did you deny that?
- 20 A I am neither a director nor was I employed by DLB
- 21 during June of 1996.
- 22 Q I don't believe it asked if you were employed. It
- 23 says you were a vice president and a director. You were not
- 24 a director and that's why you said denied?
- 25 A Yes. And I was --

- 1 Q Although you do understand that in an earlier
- 2 response to a Commission inquiry DLB and your father had
- 3 submitted a response that said you were a director. Do you
- 4 realize that?
- 5 A Yes. I was surprised.
- 6 Q You were surprised when?
- 7 A As a director.
- 8 Q I don't understand that response. Could you
- 9 explain what you mean?
- 10 A I was surprised that I was a director.
- 11 Q Okay. Back when you did this, did you make an
- 12 inquiry --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: What is "this"?
- MS. LANCASTER: I'm sorry.
- 15 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 16 Q When you responded to the questions asked in
- 17 Exhibit 31, did you make an inquiry as to whether or not you
- were a director? Did you ask your father or ask your mother
- or ask anyone associated with DLB whether or not you were a
- 20 director?
- 21 A My frame of mind when I was filling this out or
- 22 answering this question was even though you're correcting me
- 23 is that no, I was not. I looked at it is as if I wasn't an
- 24 employee, yes, I was and I always have been a vice
- president, but the fact of the matter I wasn't an employee,

- so how could I answer for something in June of '96 as well
- 2 as the concern was that I was not a director. That was my
- 3 frame of mind.
- 4 Q So in other words, you didn't answer my question.
- 5 Did you consult with anyone at DLB about whether or not you
- 6 were a director prior to responding to question 2?
- 7 A No, these were my responses.
- 8 Q Okay. And an attorney submitted these responses
- 9 on your behalf. Is that correct?
- 10 A Yes, I forwarded them in a packet.
- 11 Q Okay. And you had conversations with the attorney
- 12 regarding your answers?
- 13 A No.
- 14 O In question number 8 in Exhibit 31 is "From June
- of 1996 through 1999, respondent's duties including
- overseeing DLB's compliance with FCC regulations." And your
- 17 response to number 8 was that you denied it.
- Who was in charge of overseeing DLB's compliance
- 19 with FCC regulations at that time?
- 20 A My father, Ronald Brasher.
- 21 Q Okay. Even through June of 1999?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Question number 9 in Exhibit 31 says "From June of
- 24 1996 through June of 1999, respondent was familiar with the
- 25 Part 90 requirements and procedures for obtaining FCC

- licenses." And you denied that in your response on Exhibit
- 2 32.
- 3 A I heard your question, but what number were we
- 4 talking about?
- 5 O Number 9.
- 6 A Number 9.
- 7 Q Are you familiar with the Part 90 requirements and
- 8 procedures now?
- 9 A More so now, yes.
- 10 Q When did you become familiar with them?
- 11 A Starting some time within '98, '99 timeframe, when
- 12 I was doing a lot of this research.
- 13 Q Question 19 on page 3 of the request for
- 14 admissions, Exhibit 31, asked for you to admit or deny
- whether O.C. Brasher -- it says "O.C. Brasher did not sign
- 16 O.C.'s original application." And I believe your response
- 17 to question 19 was that you have no knowledge of that.
- MR. PEDIGO: That's incorrect.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Why don't you read the response?
- MS. LANCASTER: Okay.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 22 Q The response was "Neither admit nor deny.
- 23 Respondent lacks specific knowledge."
- 24 A Yes, ma'am.
- Q What did you mean by that?

- 1 A I didn't -- at that time, I really did not know
- who had signed for it or had it even been signed.
- 3 Q What did you think "original application" meant?
- 4 A I don't know what I thought.
- Q As far as you knew, when was O.C.'s application
- 6 submitted to the FCC?
- 7 A I don't know.
- 8 Q Did you do any research at all to prepare to
- 9 answer any of the requests for admissions?
- 10 A Research? No. I went by the frame of mind of
- when this all occurred in reference to my realm of knowledge
- 12 at that time. I have hard time -- I'm sorry.
- 13 O Go ahead.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Complete your answer. You have
- a hard time doing something.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I have a hard time going back to
- 17 what I knew last week, not what I've learned here, to what
- was expected to be answered. I mean, if you understand what
- 19 I'm saying is that I know a lot more now, but I can't -- you
- 20 know, it's hard for me to go back, what did I know in 1996,
- 21 1995, 1997.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 23 Q You submitted these answers or completed them, at
- least the date on page 6 of them, is November 17, 2000.
- 25 A The frame of mind --

- 1 Q Is it your testimony today that you answered the
- 2 questions as you -- you filtered your answers to the
- 3 questions so that they would only reflect what you would
- 4 have known in 1995 or 1996? Is that what I understand you
- 5 to say?
- 6 A I have to on some of these.
- 7 Q So you did not answer these questions with any
- 8 knowledge that you had gained by working at DLB?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q And you did not look up any information that you
- 11 did not know off the top of your head?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q As far as you knew, how many applications had O.C.
- 14 completed?
- 15 A I didn't know. I didn't know anything about
- 16 O.C. Brasher.
- 17 Q Okay. Let's look at question number 23 of Exhibit
- 18 31. It says "The assignment application filed with the FCC
- in the name of O.C. Brasher (O.C.'s assignment application)
- was not signed by O.C. Brasher." And if you look at your
- 21 response to that in Exhibit 32, you stated "Neither admit
- 22 nor deny. Respondent lacks specific knowledge."
- What did you mean by "lacks specific knowledge"?
- 24 A As I read that, I took it at face value. I didn't
- 25 know anything about O.C. Brasher.